

SGSU AGM – MINUTES

Tuesday 23rd May 2017
5.30pm – MHLT

Present

FH	Francesca Harris	General Secretary
CBr	Corey Briffa	SU President
IB	Ishaan Bhide	VP Finance and Student Activities
TA	Tanisha Amin	VP Education and Welfare
KT	Kat Telford	Chair
GG	Gillian Gibson	Students' Union COO
JS	Jane Saffell	Deputy Principal
ML	Molly Lancaster	Musical
SB	Sam Baldwin	Finance Society / Snow Club
ND	Nick Davis	Cheerleading
BM	Beccy Myers	Cheerleading
SH	Sarah Hill	Communications Officer
JC	Joe Clarke	Communications Officer
AO	Agnes Okanlawon	Yoga Society
BA	Bethany Agnew	Campaigns Officer
SSa	Sahan Samaraweera	Sri Lankan Society
AK	Abdullah Khokhor	Islamic Society
BA	Bibian Anibueze	Fashion Show
MK	Majeed Kamangar	BMS Y2 year representative
AG	Aimen Gmati	MBBS P Year Representative
SB	Seher Bashir	MBBS P Year Representative
SZ	Saad Zangie	MBBS P Year Representative
AAI	Anass Ali	GP Society
YK	Yuna Kishimoto	Events Officer
AS	Ash Sithirapathy	Societies Officer / Revue
HJ	Hannah Jones	TAD Soc
RV	Ruth Varney	Communications Officer
AW	Arabella Watkins	IME/MMAL
JW	Joseph Wenden	Anaesthetics and Critical Care
SJ	Sa'ud Jiwani	Men's Cricket
SM	Shehroz Mahmood	BMS Y3 representative
NG	Nasani Gnanasoorian	Tamil Soc
GB	Gabriela Barzyk	Representation Officer
OH	Omar Hijazi	Photography Society
IA	Iqra Ahmed	Student Minds
TC	Tasnim Chowdhury	iFem Soc
MV	Maariyah Vankad	BMS Y2 representative
JK	Jonathan Khadine	GOGS
AP	Avin Philip	E+D officer
SJ	Sanjana Jaiganesh	Dance Society
AH	Azeem Hussain	AppSoft Society
MR	Mohib Raza	Krishna Consciousness Society
CA	Chandru Amaranathan	Events Officer
HM	Hannah Mensah	Cheerleading
SJ	Shalu James	Events Officer
JT	Jeremy Teo	Events Officer

CBa	Cameron Barclay	E+E Officer
EN	Emma Norton	Gin Soc
MB	Mike Breck	Badminton
CBI	Cerys Bladen	Heritage Officer
AB	Anya Brown	Heritage Officer
LC	Lucy Chapman	Campaigns Officer
RB	Rowan Barnett	Pride
NM	Nimn Motahariad	Iranian/Afghan Society
BF	Borna Farzaneh	Iranian/Afghan Society
KP	Karan Punjabi	Hindu Soc
SAA	Syed Asalan Ali	ABSoc
SA	Saeed Azizi	Ophthalmology Society
EO	Ethan Osborn	Technical Officer
AP	Amelia Paveley	Ladies Hockey
TS	Tiernan Sheehan	Musical
MK	Meriam Kirollos	Ladies Rugby
SSi	Sunil Singh	Representation Officer
AD	Alex Denley	Technical Officer
SL	Sarah Lasoye	Book Club
PL	Philip Longhurst	Men's Hockey
RP	Ram Patel	MBBS T Year Representative
NS	Neesha Soni	MBBS T Year Representative
AH	Alina Humdani	LINKS
CR	Carl Robinson	Squash Club
MEY	Myma Enchill Yawson	AIDS Orphan
BO	Bukola Ogunjinmi	International Officer
WM	William Morrell	Sports Officer
EM	Elizabeth Macauley	MSci Y3 Representative
MA	Mary Adesina	MSci Y3 Representative
SSH	Sam Somers Heslam	Basketball
NA	Naireen Asim	Charities Officer
MH	Mark Howe	Music Society
SSt	Solomon Stott	Fencing / Y1 Paramedic Representative
AAh	Ali Ahmed	MBBS Y1 Representative
AAI	Ahmad Almohtadi	MBBS6 Y2 Representative
PS	Paramita Saraswati	MBBS6 Y2 Representative
CrBr	Criag Brooks	Boat Club
AS	Aditya Subba	MBBS5 Y1 Representative
MD	Mustafa Dashti	CP Officer
VS	Vafie Sheriff	SKIP
OR	Oliver Ryan	Sports Officer
JW	Jack Wetherbee	Physio Society
AS	Arman Shirandami	Men's Rugby
SBr	Sam Brown	MBBS5 Y2 Representative
LWK	Lucrece Wasolua Kibeti	Tooting Show / ACR

Apologies

Athena SWAN	Surgical Society
T+O	Wu Shu Kwan
Genetics Society	Clinical Neuroscience Society
Radiology	Cycling and Triathlon
Ladies Hockey	Radio Society
Student Minds	Chantal Liu
Naomi Melamed	WAMsoc
Street Doctors	Na'im Merchant

Ruben Thumbadoo	Lorna Chapman
Jess McNaughton	Ananya Sood
Isabel Griffiths	PsychSoc
GOGS	Punjabi Society

The minutes from the previous AGM were ratified.

CBr: I propose that we pass and ratify the minutes from 2015-16 Annual General Meeting of St George's Students' Union.

Seconded by IB.

PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER YEAR REPORTS		
<i>Written reports and attendance records for executive officers and year representatives can be found in the separate document online, or can be requested by emailing secretary@su.sgul.ac.uk</i>		
President	<p>CBr gave a brief summary of his report.</p> <p>PL: is there any update on the second floor refurbishment and mitigating effects on p years etc? CBr: yes – this is coming up later on in the agenda. The timeline is essentially end of July and beginning of august and we have timetabled 'quiet work' around exams that have been put elsewhere to try and prevent disruption of exams.</p> <p>BO: gaining access to radiography courses and the implication of this on us will be considerable for example moving the prayer room and changing clinical skills locations, what can be done about this so that we don't suffer the consequences? CBr: we are always trying to ensure that no one suffers and every plan that's bene put in front of me I have had the opportunity to say no to or if its feasible then to bring it to the students. We have built really strong bring with the university this year and I hope that this carries on. We are at a point now where they ask our opinion and if we say no then it means no. in terms of making sure there's space I the future, whatever negotiation we do we ensure there's room for adjustment. The things I have planned and accounted for are all written down and will be handed over thoroughly.</p> <p>PL: in your report there is a restructuring diagram which I feel uncomfortable with the president being at the top, as I am worried that in future years there could be someone who may have no experience of leadership, management, finance etc, who would be line managing the entirety of the SU. I feel that now that we have the COO this may be more of a responsibility for them to take. CBr: this diagram is based on what the COO made, it is her diagram. Essentially it is from our constitution and is what the NUS sent to use when we had our strategic review. The diagram isn't a line management diagram as such. The president is constitutionally above the VPs but this isn't based on business decisions. These will always have to go through the trustee board and the COO. We just don't want the COO to take away the power from the students, as the president has been elected by students to make decisions on the student's behalf, whereas the COO is not. PL: so the trustee board has overall power over what the SU does, so to have a middle person in that structure to manage this is almost no difference and the president still have the high regard and present what the students want.</p>	PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY
VP Finance and Student Activities	IB gave a brief summary of his written report.	PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY

	<p>MH: a couple of the executive officers working under you have been considered to under perform this year, what have you done to encourage them to fulfill their roles to this point? IB: I am aware of who you're talking about. I have had multiple meetings with these officers and offered help the whole time, but there is only so much the students union can do.</p> <p>EM: is there still free printing next year? CBR: there will be free printing next year but the budget isn't going up so that's something for next years team to work on.</p>	
<p>VP Education and Welfare</p>	<p>TA gave a brief verbal summary of her written report.</p> <p>MB: you've given a few examples of improving BMS and MBBS, but any examples of improving allied health courses? TA: yes, so I've attended meetings of these courses, for example early started attending their meetings to iron out any issues, for example paramedics not getting Wednesday afternoons off.</p> <p>Twitter: why didn't you run your individual awareness week which takes place every year and is part of the constitution? TA: I combined one awareness week with mental health week with campaigns as we didn't want to duplicate efforts and combined budget. I still have time to do a campaign such as stay safe in the dark but don't want to have loads of campaigns which students find hard to stay engaged with.</p> <p>PL: may ball was great but didn't fully understand that implication of a shisha tent to may ball. TA: this was a choice we made as we wanted to provide an options for non-drinkers. I agree it is harmful but tis down to individual students to their discretion of what they do. We can take it away net year if people feel strongly about it but it was well received.</p> <p>BO: based on the dissolution of the into partnership with the university is there any thing the university's going to ensure there is a plan for the students who will be affected by this? TA: they mentioned in the open meeting that their transcript and degree wont be affected. They will continue to offer the same service and support to all students while this happens. We think that we are going to continue to provide the same support and if anything then it's a positive move as we can continue to support the students separately and all issues can be addressed.</p> <p>AB: campaigns weeks are a big part of your role. With the addition of the new campaigns officers how do you feel your involvement ahs been? TA: for the first week which was consent week this didn't go as well as we had hoped so that got pushed back a bit. We ironed these issues out for the second campaign and we learnt from these. I have put in a constitutional change to the campaigns officers role to increase the team so that we can have more hands on the effort.</p>	<p>PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p>
<p>General Secretary</p>	<p>FH gave a verbal summary of the written report.</p> <p>CBa: Did you enjoy being general secretary? FH: In the moment no, overall glad she did it. No not all the time</p> <p>AB: You cleared out the RAG room, but it always gets messy? FH: We have been attempting to do weekly checks, which will hopefully help!</p>	<p>PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p>

	<p>SA: after doing the role, do you think this should be a paid role? FH: Ruth asked last year, would be great to be paid. But I don't think it should be made into a sabbatical role. You get so much out of it without taking a year out.</p> <p>PL: How are you going to improve the room booking system? FH: Not sure yet, too many rooms under my control. Giving some room booking control back to the university room booking team.</p> <p>JK: Is there anything going to be done about the two room booking systems. FH: Hopefully yes</p>	
Chair	<p>MH: a lot of students are deterred from student union politics as it bored them have you done anything to change this? KT: this is something I've struggled with this year, exec meetings we do fine, council it is similar you get some meetings which are less well attended. The worrying meeting has been senate attendance which is something we have tried really hard to target this year by getting staff members involved and students like this as it means they know that staff members will be there any they can actually change something. Myself and Top 4 have really tried to make agenda points specific and purposeful. This is where I have targeted my effort and I hope it has had some impact.</p> <p>IB: this year there was a senate social held where year reps said they would come and then no one turned up and we made a loss, what do you think we could do about this? KT: it was a shame, that was the meeting that everyone came to the meeting and then no one could come and we don't really know why. We have been chasing those people those to get the money back however.</p>	PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY
Events Officers	<p>AB: you introduced the Gatsby event how do you feel that went? SJ: we feel it went well as usually eh last event is the worst attended and it went much better than we expected. Everyone enjoyed the cocktails and reception areas.</p> <p>PL: did you think that anything didn't work well and how it could be improved? SJ: in freshers there was the guerilla night which no one came to. We feel that there doesn't need to be an event every single night of freshers</p> <p>LWK: how do you come up with your vents, do you take suggestions for example? SJ: some of the events happen every single year and then some other we implemented through our own ideas. But we are always open to suggestions.</p> <p>SS: many paramedics feel they aren't involved in events, how would you feel you would try and integrate more next year with these students? YK: this year we tried hard to integrate with paramedics as well. it was very last minute but we had two paramedic specific freshers events as well. The only problem was that it was very last minute but we will pass this over in our handover. We will try harder to reach out to you guys during fresher's and on social media also.</p> <p>Panopto: anything you think should be discontinued? SJ: nothing in particular we feel should be discontinued but there's always things that don't do as well, for example the guerilla event. YK: we endeavored to decorate the bar more with each themed event which we have worked really hard on and we feel has been good this year.</p>	PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY

<p>Sports Officers</p>	<p>CR: if there may be more space available in the rob lowe will there be a way of renegotiating that space? OR: we don't really know too much about the rob lowe plans, but what I can say is that from our experience there are space for renegotiations each year and we will hand this over. We will give information we've had this year and pass that over and hopefully there will be more fair allocation.</p> <p>Twitter: why are so many of your reports missing? OR: no real excuse, just poor time management from us.</p> <p>EO: in context of your role with working with other universities, how do you feel it compares and is there anything you'd like to change about your role? WM: we are the only university in any of our leagues or cups who don't have a sports sabbatical officer which can often let down the teams. We aren't able to deal with problems immediately all the time whereas other universities would have someone who can. OR: it's a very challenging role in terms of being on time demanding courses as well, there is a requirement for someone who is able to answer issues immediately and we really can't do that. Having a larger team than the two of us would make a difference also which was unfortunate for SU this year.</p> <p>AS: given the time requirements, do you feel that people in the high clinical years shouldn't become sports officers? OR: realistically p year are on placement almost all the time so that wouldn't be realistic, but any year will be difficult. In terms of advice, the higher clinical years would be very difficult to do it but it doesn't attack the root of it in needing someone available 24/7.</p> <p>AP: our club have often sorted our own fixtures but needed you in emergency situations. Apart from creating sabbatical role is there any way you can see for solving this issue for next year? OR: a number of clubs manage their own fixtures very well but there are some left who require us to manage their weekly bookings. Last years officers did a great job of encouraging clubs to do their own fixtures which helps a lot so this would be one way to make it a bit easier in encouraging the remaining clubs to take responsibility for their own fixtures. WM: we will hand over to the next sports officers to let captains know that we aren't there to hold their hands, any committee members have access to BUCS and so things should only really be coming to us when really necessary for example complaints.</p> <p>MB: we've had times where we've sent emails 3 weeks to month before and have had no reply and we would like to know why? OR: first of all apologies for that, we have hundreds of emails a week and some do slip through the net but numerous times is unacceptable so sorry. Next year we plan to make a public list which can be sent out to all the clubs for the universities so then these can be contacted personally without going through us first which would make it easier for sports clubs to deal wit fixture changes themselves.</p>	<p>PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p>
------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------

<p>Communications Officers</p>	<p>HJ: do you feel that you received enough information for the newsletter weekly? SH: usually there is loads of information weekly.</p> <p>MH: according to your manifesto points there are crosses next to advertising why has this failed? RV: it is a case of time, if someone has emailed us then we do our best to put it out there where we have channels. We pledged to go to societies and companies to advertise for them but there isn't enough time in the day.</p> <p>MD: is there plans to update bios on the website for societies? RV: we put things up whenever we are sent things by societies and societies can have access to their page if they want</p> <p>AB: do you think there is a more effective way to get information out to students than the newsletter? JC: yes – we will be putting news out on the app we are developing which people will hopefully read a lot more of. We know that people don't really read the newsletter.</p> <p>BO: do you think there will be a way of integrating society budgets into the app ore regularly than monthly? IB: we can't do it more than monthly as that is how often we get bank statements</p> <p>MH: do you feel you have invested too much time in the newsletter? RV: we have had feedback that people aren't getting the information that we are putting in the newsletter which shows us that people aren't reading it. Unfortunately for the amount of people in the union we know that its not cutting it, but until there's a better way it would be a failure on our part if we stopped before there is something in its place.</p> <p>PL: have you thought about doing anything on Facebook like taking your election page and changing it into the media page to send out regular things? SH: we haven't thought about it but it is something we could put in our handover.</p>	<p>PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p>
<p>Heritage Officers</p>	<p>AP: what do you feel was your best event this year and what do you think should be worked on next year? AB: as an event in itself we felt our stall outside the library, for the people who attended it, the content had loads of really great feedback. We feel that having something similar next year throughout the year would be good. Spiders and cobras are always good events enjoyed by those who attend but we have had problems with attendance around exams, but it was better attended than last year we think.</p> <p>MH: the decision to separate spiders and cobras was made last year, do you still think this is a good idea? AB: this is down to the licensing of the bar and its to do with 'unsafe retail of alcohol' so we did ask to rejoin them but we aren't allowed to.</p>	<p>PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p>

<p>Charities Officers</p>	<p>MH: upon leaving battle of the bands last year I found posters of the events in the lifts which I know isn't allowed which means you must have started advertising rag week on the night it started could you explain this? VP: we started advertising on Facebook 4 weeks before but we did flier every evening on every floor but these got taken away each night. We also started fliering halls this year which we will hand over.</p> <p>ML: how did you increase on last weeks' rag week in terms of attendance to events? VP: we believe that rag week didn't need an event every single night so we got rid of unsuccessful events such as rag disco. Attendance to 4/5 events was similar attendance to last year, the only disappointing one was battle of the bands which we will hand over. AS: I understand the rational of not doing an event every day however normally fantastically attended event such as man-o-man weren't attended well but for example I am really up for these but I had no idea it was happening until the day I so don't believe what a=you are saying about your advertising is true.</p> <p>CBa: you speak about lessening rag week and making it smaller, do you think that is a good idea considering how huge other universities rag weeks are, also considering the heritage behind it? VP: it was hard having 6 event sin 7 days and we would consider reducing it to 5 of the 7 days and move more events away from rag week throughout the year such as quizzes. At other SU's rag officers just do rag week but we do other things throughout the year. We might suggest telling next years' officers to concentrate mainly on rag week or not.</p> <p>Panopto: what is the current rag total? IB: I don't know the numbers off the top of my head but the rag total isn't a reflection on what the current rag team has done as last years' outgoings are within it and other things from last year are also counted this year. But raised this year is approximately £1300.</p> <p>BA: what do you feel was your most successful event, why, and how much did you raise during that event? NA: I think it was jail break. We had advertised this a lot and held a small seminar before hand too. We had donation pages up and we all went further with this. We tried texting people every hour. VP: we also held a party for patients in the hospital with cancer and chronic illnesses which was funded ourselves which is something we would like to work on for next year.</p> <p>BA: last year we raised around £9000 over al and I wondered what your expected total is for net year? CBr: this is hard for them to say as we don't have updated finances but Ishaan and I will work on that.</p> <p>CrBr: last year there was a charity row by the boat club who were keen to do the same this year but they were discouraged from doing it during the week and I wondered why? VP: we had people vote on the charities during the week but boat club waned to just give to the hospital trust we thought this to be unfair as we had voted which is the reason. CrBr: given that the rag week total is about 1300 and the row total was over 2000 do you not think this would have been worth including anyway VP: its not just about the money its about the principle and that the money is always split three ways</p>	<p>NOT PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p> <p>28/68</p>
---------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------

	<p>OR: you passed it off as being a boat club matter I don't think it was, we just wanted to hold the event in rag week as this is the time what raising and giving is done but we were told we couldn't do this having spoken to the appropriate people why re we being held back from this.</p> <p>AC: since the vote we have discussed about some things that where things went wrong. Before the meeting only one of us knew about this boat club issues but now we are on the same page, but we didn't have this understanding before. If this has been shared between us we would have been able to make the decision better and to discuss with top 4. In hindsight this wasn't the best way for us to have gone bout this. I would like to kindly urge you to understand the implication of your votes today, we have bene very passionate about charities and have sacrificed our times and our efforts into this role and ultimately what your vote does today is that it nullifies our entire efforts this year. The list is endless of the things we have done and tried to do. I feel that an event such as this this year which si shocking and we would have liked to rectify in the future this is something e can do in our handover to make sure this doesn't happen again and the importance of ensuring everyone is in the loop. It is unfortunate for us that if everything we have done is pushed to one side on the basis of one negative thing that happened. We rent going to get everything perfect and I understand why people would be angry about this and what I comes down to I that when we talked about it and figured it out then we would have been on the same page about this – whereas it was based off one person knowing certain details and we apologize for this. We will do whatever we can to make sure this doesn't happen again. We have raised £1300 and frankly it is about the money and we have made a mistake in this and we hope that you can understand that we know we've done this and we are sorry. Advertising as well is something we should have done better. I would just like everyone to know the implications of what the vote does today.</p> <p>NA: we have all had difference achievements and what we have contributed to the team for example the patients event and we have worked on this for months and have had the highest turn out these things and we've been there for all of these personal fundraisers including the danceathon.</p> <p>EO: I think that there was quite a bit of negativity in the questions earlier and I have worked with these guys throughout the year and looking form an events point of view I would like to say that I feel you guys have done a good job of putting things on this year.</p> <p>MD: I would like to propose that the vote for the charities officers gets renewed this year as people haven't understood the consequences of the vote and we were voting without considerate knowledge of the implications of the vote.</p> <p>KT: I am not permitted to do a second vote, everyone took in the information available to them in reports and in the questions but there will be an opportunity to question the vote following this meeting.</p>	
Societies Officers	<p>CBI: why are you alone today? AS: the other officers have other engagement sand have sent in their apologies</p> <p>AB: I know this year you split the clubs and societies between you and each took on a certain section how do you feel that worked and affected you as a team</p>	PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY

AS: hasn't been as beneficial as we thought and some have taken on other tasks such as may ball and awards. But I think that as the system has changed this year to be online this should be better in the future

AB: how do you feel having awards at may ball went?

AS: I think it was great and was so much better of an event. For me my first year stood out that awards wasn't well attended despite being a nice event, but at may ball there was such a better atmosphere and was a slick process that didn't detract from the ball

PL: I think the way awards was done at ay ball was good but is there anything that would be done for the final years that couldn't be there?

AS: we considered doing a video for the laurels winners which is a shame to not have them there. We didn't think that scheduling a whole new event just for a small number was feasible but it's a case of balancing up the improvements with those sacrifices.

RV: as the top 4 took on most of organizing may ball and had help from other teams, how do you feel your job load has ben changed in the last year?

AS: that was our whole goal this year was to try and make it easier for societies offers in the future and we feel that this has been achieved.

MH: I find it interesting that your first manifesto point was about the music room but all you seem to have done is get access and not achieve much else, could you explain what you may have done or why you haven't done things?

AS: at the start of the year we didn't all have access which was difficult for us to get. The constitutional makes us responsible for the room but we don't all have access to it. This made it harder for us.

MH: I know that at least one member of your team has the access.

AS: 2 of us had access two months into the role, and the only person who has always had access is Ethan who has taken it on himself this year which I feel is unfair on him considering that we didn't have access.

IB: I made the decision to not give all the societies officers access to the music room. And I did this to fight the view of exec being a clique and so we decided to only give half of the team access. The applications from societies were only given 3 months into the year and it seemed odd as it was during the times that the societies involved with had rehearsals.

AS: I was in charge of performance societies which is why I needed it around the time of shows beginning which is the reason that I applied at this point, but jess had access before me so I assumed that she was taking care of that.

CBI: we have talked about the role being decreased a lot this year do you feel there is a nee for 4 officers?

AS: I feel freshers fair is the only event that needs the bigger team but the other constitutional responsibilities such as handovers, awards etc I do feel could not be done by a smaller group so perhaps if freshers fair was helped a lot more by other teams then it may be possible to have a smaller team of societies officers.

Panopto: how far along with collecting handovers and constitutions?

AS: last council we went through dissolutions of societies who weren't up to date on this and there were 3 but everyone else is ok

Panopto: how effective do you feel you have been at communicating with societies who may not use the SU emails as their main email address?

	<p>AS: we gave every society a domain email address which we feel is the best way of contacting people, rather than having a mailing list of people who left years ago we think putting the onus on people to want the contact is important</p> <p>PL: not everyone's handovers and constitutions are online how do you plant to tackle this and what will be the consequences if they're not? AS: the constitutions that we receive we send straight to comms who upload them. There must be a break somewhere in this chain but this needs to just be chased up for people who haven't got them online</p> <p>IB: how do you feel that award s this year in terms of may ball and the efforts required by societies were shouldered by your team members. From what I saw on the day it seemed that you were the only one doing the work and so the reflection on societies officers today isn't a reflection on you. Is it fair to say that you did a lot of the work? AS: on the day yes, but I think that its unfair to say that the other officers have done nothing this year as we have all done something to add to the role and have put their share of work in in my opinion.</p> <p>AP: we had to update our constitution and our presidents found it really hard to get in contact with anyone and found it hard to get response to emails and I was wondering if in the future there could be more help with updating constitutions as its something we often haven't had experience of? AS: we sent sport clubs through sports officers. In terms of getting help with writing constitutions each society has to have a constitution from being set up which are available in hard copy in Ishaan s office, us putting them online is to make it easier for people to access these. We just wanted the paper copy to be typed up in a word document for us to upload o line, as it would have been unfeasible for us to do tem all ourselves.</p> <p>EM: you combined awards and may ball and this was great gut the accessibility of ticket prices etc can be an issue how can you see tackling this? AS: this was an issue we identified and we wanted to ensure heavily discounted or even free tickets to awards winners. Ticket pricing for winners wasn't decided until very far into the process. For awards we have a set budget and we wanted to use all of this budget to discount these tickets and we also told people to contact us if they are having real financial issues with attending. We gave out 40 awards on the night which was similar to last year in the Monkton that was free so I don't think its really an issue of price but other things also are factors. Think that in future might be good to make it a bit cheaper for winner is possible.</p>	
<p>Community Project Officers</p>	<p>SS: in your report one of the firs th4things you said was helping the undergraduates move in. the main source of contention within paramedics is we had no help why is this? VS: this isn't something we are responsible for it is something that should be answered by top 4</p> <p>CBI: most successful and least successful? VS: volunteer of the month, updating access list were really great, but our main issue is that we don't feel we have full y advertised the opportunities we have provided for students this year. Would like to incentivize volunteer of the month next year and possibly implementing a budget</p> <p>HJ: last year your role was almost removed; do you think tis still viable? VS: there were a lot of constitutional roles which weren't completed last year. We have made changes this year to make things better for societies</p>	<p>PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p>

	<p>for example the Vinspired part of the role and there is so much we can do with the role with more time and advertising. I don't think that there is any way this should be dissolved. There are so many things that can be done.</p> <p>Elena from links: I sent an application in about volunteer of the month but never heard anything back was wondering why MD: we did reply but as it was for in the future we weren't able to get back to you until later but we can talk to you about this issue at the end of the meeting if you want.</p> <p>Panopto: how many CP evenings were organized throughout the year and how was attendance? MD: there was one at start of the year which was really well attended with 160 people who came. We haven't done more CP44 evening but we are looking into doing more throughout the year if that's what people want.</p>	
<p>Technical Officers</p>	<p>RB: how do you feel you've contributed Alex? AD: I feel my time has been quite successful. I came into the position not knowing much about tech which isn't ideal but Ethan has really taken me under his wing and has taught me a huge amount and I can now single handedly run a disco. I feel my input has been sufficient but of course Ethan has done a huge amount throughout the year.</p> <p>CBa: do you feel the workload was designated fairly amongst the team? EO: tech is an ever increasingly large role which is hard to manage part time. We have also been set back this year due to the team being dropped out at the beginning of the year and the year has been muddled by who has been available and who is available. From my experience other tech officers have been able to get in contact with and get them to do jobs giving me time off. Next year I would like to ensure that everyone has assigned roles from the beginning of the year.</p> <p>AB: you are meant to be a team of 3 and I know that Alex and Michelle came in late and Alex has tried hard to get involved but I'd like to ask about your third officer and would like to know how you have dealt with this? EO: we are unable to get in contact with her. Michelle doesn't have Facebook and doesn't respond to our messages so there have been difficulties getting her on board. She has come in and did really help at battle of the bands. It's been really hard to get her involved especially as she is on a very different course to us etc and I think she got on board and then realized she couldn't put the time into the role.</p> <p>MH: in the time I've been at George's I've either seen the tech officer role under performed or too much for officers, do you think it's sustainable as a student role? EO: absolutely not. From everything I've seen this year I hope that I can be fair and level headed this is the busiest non-sabbatical role in the student union. I think this is something that needs to be considered to be a sabbatical role. It is very hard to keep up with everything that is needed of us.</p>	<p>PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p>
<p>Equality and Diversity Officers</p>	<p>BA: what do you think your biggest achievement is this year? AP: all campaigns we've done have been fairly successful and well attended and received. KS: we liked how we worked with the university and LGBT this year ACS: what has your role involved this year? KS: we are a portal for the student body to channel issues and raise them with issues and raise awareness of ongoing issues for example interfaith week and during LGBT history and black history month we have tried our hardest to raise awareness but of course there are things to improve on and</p>	<p>PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p>

	<p>there are things we have learnt and we know now how to make something big which we have now learnt</p> <p>RB: how will you ensure LGBT history month is a success next year also? AP: a lot of it was to do with the people I pride society itself and so I think ensuring in our handover the officers get in contact with the pride society early on, and the wall for example was well received so many sure that plans are in place early on</p> <p>EO: do you think there is potential in the future to expand your remit for what you represent? KS: what the representation officers do as well we cover this together and cover a lot together. There is a lot of overlap. To expand much further more training and time would be necessary which we don't have at the moment but if this was possible it would be very beneficial.</p> <p>MA: last year the E+E officers said about black history month being so close to the beginning of the year which makes it hard, is there anyway you could put plans in place for your successors so that its something that is celebrated? KS: that is something we have done with Margot Turner as we have realized that when the university is on board things go so much better so that plans are there for next year and will be going through.</p>	
<p>Environment and Ethics Officer</p>	<p>IB: the last couple of exec meetings you have been trying to put in a green impact award did you fulfil this? CBa: yes, I handed it in which was difficult as was during exams. I did it as best I could but there were some that were impossible to do. I strived as hard as I could. I think we are definitely on track to do as well as last year.</p> <p>HJL: how have you found the role as a one person? CBa: its been manageable but I always say I feel it's a role for 1 and a half people – but potentially going into final year and doing it alone was a bit hard</p> <p>TA: we were shooting for very good but although there are some that weren't possible for us, how many were we off and do you think its worth going to us and saying its not achievable for all unions? CBa: yes I think that would be a good point to contact them but I haven't done that as it was so off our radar that I didn't think it was worth it this time around but I think we were off around just under half of the very good but there was a couple that I really didn't think we could achieve.</p> <p>TA: what are your plans for your second campaign? CBa: go green wee will be in the next few weeks. I would like to make the film nights a bigger thing which I've done throughout the year and will meet with you soon about this.</p>	<p>PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p>
<p>International Officers</p>	<p>CBa: this is your second year of doing this and you've done well both years, how do you feel you have progressed this year compared to last year? BO: having last years experience this year has been very easy for us with very few complications as we had a nice relationship with the university which has been great progress since last year, for example have been able to raise money to twin one of our SU toilets with a toilet in Uganda.</p>	<p>PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p>
<p>Representation Officers</p>	<p>Annas: how do you feel the role has grown since last year and how do you see things moving forward? SSi: we have been working on steering groups and on senate social etc, we have also been working on breastfeeding facilities. These things have all been big improvements.</p>	<p>PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p>

	<p>GB: we have also worked closely with Sarah Lasoye on things such as liberations officers. In terms of where things can go we think working more closely with societies is something that would be good.</p>	
<p>Campaigns Officers</p>	<p>KS: do you think the role needs more defining to know where to put the work in? LuC: the role is broad which has been challenging but what we've mainly struggled with is not having a clear plan at the beginning of the year. We thought about things in September when we should have started earlier but when we got into it what we did was successful. Think the there is potential to define t further but its been a good first year</p> <p>CBI: do you think it needs a bigger team? BA: yes, we think it needs more people. Our most successful week reached 1400 people but we didn't stop all week and it worked as we had help from exec but having extra people would definitely have helped</p> <p>AB: as you said it's a broad role, how do you feel you were supported by exec and top 4 throughout out campaigning? BA: during mental wellness week the support we received save us as especially the text a tea service was crazy and we wouldn't have been able to do it without exec</p> <p>AS: you received funding this year rom SGUL change and will you continue this in the future or where will you get the funding? BA: we will put it in our handover that it shows that if you put a bit of money into a campaign it can make it really effective for example the big signs on the lifts. We were also then able to got to students rather than expecting them to come to us but this is hard to do when you don't have the funding.</p>	<p>PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p>
<p>YEAR REPRESENTATIVES YEAR REPORTS</p>		
<p>Questions to the Year Representatives</p>	<p>MB: in the university everything seems to be towards the MBBS and BMS, how can we get more involvement with allied health? CBr: Tanisha and I have tried hard this year to encourage people who aren't in medicine and biomed to engage with us and this is very difficult and has been for number of years. Before this year Kingston students couldn't get involve din elections for example now this has been changed which should hopefully instantly have an effect on this year's elections, previous years haven't also necessarily approached course leads and engaged with them. like was mentioned earlier having the university on side gets thing done. This is the first year we have held a paramedics freshers even though we were only told last minute that it was needed. We have made steps but there is this boundary of students feeling part of SGUL and this is something we have fought to change at every meeting Tanisha and I have been at. For example, radiology will be moving to St George's and will be based here so this will be changing. Also as Tanisha mentioned earlier the Wednesday afternoons off issues was sorted. We have not ever not tried to advertise to other courses but its just that we font get that engagement an there's only so much we can do which is an ingrained issues but hopefully with the elections changes etc this is something we can work on.</p> <p>TA: one of the biggest things for us this year was showing the other courses that we are useful to them which we have managed for some courses but there is still along way to go. I feel that if the senate social had gone well this would have made it successful and increased team spirit to make people feel valued.</p>	

	<p>PL: grad ball this year seems to revolve around medics and I was just wondering all the year reps what the thoughts were about making a SU grad ball.</p> <p>CBr: the grad ball has been organized for the first time by all of the final year reps so this is the first time that its been open to all students and this is also why we having brought may ball as we didn't feel there was an event that was open to all students and this had representation from a huge umber of courses which hasn't been done before.</p> <p>IB: we've tried to make it inclusive and also cut back on the balls. We do have to appreciate that we have to do something to celebrate for the people graduating. This is mainly for graduates so you don't get younger students attending that which is why we also introduced may ball. Every courses graduates are invited the grad ball.</p> <p>CBr: every year rep role is open to all courses, not just BMS and MBBS. But until someone comes forwards and pushes things they want changing there is a limit to how much will change because we haven't been on every course. We try to represent everyone to the best of our ability but the best people to represent a course are the people on that course so we are trying to push that every single person is able to run for these roles</p> <p>MD: what ways do you think as senate hat it can be improved for next year?</p> <p>SS: I feel that next year id like to bring other healthcare reps along and I am already working with people who have offers for my course and so am making connections with the year below myself so I will ensure the paramedics will have more of a role within the SU itself.</p> <p>SM: I feel it has improved this year bringing university members to join the senate so giving opinions to thee people who represent us higher up has been good</p> <p>1st year rep: as a first year rep its bene good sharing lectures but also having a close relationship with the second years, but maybe doing something at the start to get people to know each other would be good</p> <p>SB: a social at the start would have bene god to get to know each other</p> <p>BO: who are senate members accountable to in terms of their reports and attendance as its disappointing to see a number of rep officers here on the spreadsheet.</p> <p>TA: report to me which is why we wanted to do the senate social and it was also about integrating better. Appraisals would be good to bring in and we tried to ta get this early on in the year but its a shame the social didn't work out and I hope things will change for next year. I agree that it needs to be at the beginning but we don't have such attendance there and also some aren't elected until later.</p> <p>VS: how useful do you find senate in dealing with cohort issues</p> <p>AEK: senate isn't that useful for our cohort on international cohort as its often thing that go beyond the scope of senate</p> <p>CBr: as there's so many people representing different courses may be some times when it isn't very useful for some courses but what we really struggle with is how senate has helped people over the years and showing what it has done and reassuring people that if there is an issues then this is where to bring it and this is where to get it sorted out. There are lots of things that can be shown how it can be useful and beneficial.</p>	
AGENDA POINTS		
<p>Accounts of the Unions for the financial year 2015-16 (IB &</p>	<p>IB introduced GG. The SU is split into a charity arm and a trading arm. IB summarized the deficit and surplus from the charity and trading arm. Anything the trading arm generates goes straight to the charity. £26,143 was last years' deficit.</p>	<p>PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p>

GG) – PAPER B1,
B2, B3

IB summarized paper B2. The profits and losses have been rolled over from last year. The document shows the top 5 losses from societies last year (men's rugby, netball, men's football, Afro-Caribbean Appreciation Society and musical society) and the top profit making societies (fashion show, tooting show, Diwali society, teddy bear hospital and Christian union society).

Arman (men's rugby): we started this year at £14,000 under so far we have made a 7000 profit. Last year at AGM we took responsibility for our losses and made a plan with Alice and Ishaan which we have been working to keep to this year. We still have a couple of deals to finish those and it should come down to about £4000 by October and we expect to be debt free by next year.

AOC: this year had our kit funded for us so we didn't use any of that allowance which should be taken off also.

PL (musical): Apologize to the SU and student body for our accounts at the end of last year but I am pleased to say that musical have taken drastic measures to reduce our costs and expenses so we have now overturned that debt and are in £500 profit.

IB summarized paper B3 – this is the back office work that the SU does. It includes salaries, freshers, awards etc. these are general student expenditure for student experience so these aren't rolled over.

PL: is it possible to put a budget for each thing, as the rest of the student body has budgets that we need to keep to and its not really fair that the SU doesn't have the same,

IB: there have been budgets in place for 16-17.

IB: as we have been running at such a deficit there have bene steps taken to remedy this in the future.

GG: when I started in February one of the main mandates I was given by the trustee board was to make the SU sustainable which it currently isn't. as you have seen we made an overall loss last year. We are currently within about 5 years of remaining a financially viable organization if things don't change soon. These budget setting guidelines and policies have been approved by the broad of trustees and are how things will be monitored form the 1st august onwards. Significant improvements have been made already however the union on the charity side must break even to enable continued investment and in order to better your experience. The net position of the charity will break even on this new budget set. Budget will be developed over the summer and as was mentioned this includes a budget for absolutely everything that the student union does. They will be based on performance this year and will include a carry forward element. They will be approved in September and includes this carry forward element, this is so early so that we can hold societies accountable so that they know their budget as early as possible. This will be done on a variance basis. This means that any underspend you will be rewarded for and will go into your carry forward for the future. Any societies in surplus will get bonus for the following year and income targets will be given to societies overspending to make up that deficit. Clubs and societies can challenge the budget they are given with the sab officers as in previous years. Additional policies have been approved by the board of trustees to maintain this way of working which means that it should be equal and not penalize any one club or society.

	<p>Carry forward will be capped at £5000 as it is felt that its unachievable to expect anyone to raise any more than this. If a club or society breaks even for 3 continuous years, any previous deficits will be wiped clean so that current members aren't penalized for previous debts. If a deficit is made for 3 continuous years than a decision can be made by the board of trustees to stop financially supporting these unsustainable activities. That club or society will be able to appeal this with the board of trustees and that decision will then be final. This starts from this year, and will not be applied retrospectively. We will be publishing year on year spending and monthly budgets on a monthly basis on the website. I am also always available for financial advice throughout the year, as is the VP finance. The aim of all of this is to have more money to invest in you and your clubs and societies. If anyone has any questions or would like to see the full document, then please let me know.</p> <p>EO: the subvention grant is used to offset the expenditures and when compared with other universities we don't compare very well wit the money we're getting and has this been looked to be combatted in the future?</p> <p>GG: yes – we are hoping that the amount we are given will increase in the near future but that will be discussed shortly by the sabbatical officers.</p>	
<p>Approval of the list of affiliations of the Union – Paper C (IB)</p>	<p>IB: I propose the St George's Students' Union affiliate with 'Amnesty international' for £21.00 on behalf of Amnesty International. Seconded by PL PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p> <p>IB: I propose the St George's Students' Union affiliate with 'British rowing' for £418.00 on behalf of Boat Club. Seconded by WM PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p> <p>IB: I propose the St George's Students' Union affiliate with 'National Hindu students' forum' for £50.00 on behalf of Hindu society Seconded by CBr PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p> <p>IB: I propose the St George's Students' Union affiliate with 'Federation for student Islamic society' for £20 on behalf of Islamic Society Seconded by TA PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p> <p>IB: I propose the St George's Students' Union affiliate with 'Basketball England registration; for £325.00 on behalf of Ladies Basketball Club Seconded by CBr PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p> <p>IB: I propose the St George's Students' Union affiliate with 'Football alliance' for £73.00 on behalf of ladies' football Seconded by CBr PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p> <p>IB: I propose the St George's Students' Union affiliate with 'Surrey country rugby football union' for £30.00 on behalf of Ladies' rugby Seconded by RP PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p> <p>IB: I propose the St George's Students' Union affiliate with 'GLAD membership' for £10.00 on behalf of LGBT Pride</p>	

	<p>Seconded by TA PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p> <p>IB: I propose the St George's Students' Union affiliate with 'Basketball England registration' for £265.00 on behalf of men's basketball club Seconded by VP PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p> <p>IB: I propose the St George's Students' Union affiliate with 'London society of rugby football referees' for £320.00 on behalf of Men's rugby Seconded by AS PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p> <p>IB: I propose the St George's Students' Union affiliate with 'National union for students' for £8,907.26 on behalf of the SU Seconded by CBr PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p> <p>IB: I propose the St George's Students' Union affiliate with 'BUCS' for £1,689.01 on behalf of the SU Seconded by AS PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p> <p>IB: I propose the St George's Students' Union affiliate with 'MSL' for £11,924.06 on behalf of the SU Seconded by RV PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p> <p>IB: I propose the St George's Students' Union affiliate with 'LUSL' for £525.00 on behalf of the SU Seconded by OR PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p> <p>IB: I propose the St George's Students' Union affiliate with United Hospitals Cricket for £500 on behalf of the Cricket Club Seconded by CBr PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p> <p>IB: I propose the St George's Students' Union affiliate with United Hospitals Men's Football Club for £70.00 on behalf of Men's Football Seconded by TA PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p> <p>IB: I propose the St George's Students' Union affiliate with United Hospitals Men's Hockey Club for £340.00 on behalf of Men's Hockey Club Seconded by JK PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p> <p>IB: I propose the St George's Students' Union affiliate with United Hospitals Tennis for £150.00 on behalf of Tennis Club Seconded by RV PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p>	
<p>Student Experience – Jane Saffell</p>	<p>I have come today to talk a little bit about the education and students' strategy. If there are any questions, please feel free to email them to Corey who can forward them on to me and we can discuss further. JS gave some background on herself and her role. There is a presentation tomorrow about the strategy more generally. The key thing is that we want a culture of quality on assessment and feedback. We want thoughtful assessment which</p>	

is told to you, and is aligned with practice. We need to ensure we think about the whole assessment burden and that we have a diversity, for example having more on presentation skills with useful feedback. We are piloting a universal online system in which you can feedback on your teaching and we hope that this can be piloted to the whole institution next year. We are thinking of having a co-curricular program which will probably be called open spaces which is available to all students with more support and work based placements. We want the readouts to be great for St George's, not because we are wanting to get good NSS results or in TEF, but because we need to be good in itself. Some of the progress needed is to do with infrastructure and we have a rolling refurbishment but we also need to think of new space, for example looking at the lifts. We also need to make sure we can provide for the students and also post-grad. We have a student experience committee and network and we are thinking about what happens before you come so that we can hit the ground running. There is a project on at the moment to look at restoring pastoral care. We want this to be a integrated community, staff and students. This overall will result in good student satisfaction. There is a lot of work being done in the institution and we are working to change our frameworks so that good decisions are made. This all may seem unimportant to you but it is pivotal to providing a good student experience. If we can get all this right, then it means that this will all contribute to the reputation of St George's and the impact we have on the world. There will also be further work on the website and branding.

MD: are there any plans to refurbish the lab on first and second floor?

JS: not that I am aware of. I have put in a bid for a tissue culture lab in Jenner. I'm not sure if this is the same space you are thinking of but if you email Corey we can look into that!

OR: you said you are giving more money to the SU with increasing student numbers, does this mean the same funding per student?

JS: I a lobbying for there to be a match between any increases in student numbers and the subvention. We need to be thinking about what we're doing for postgrads. I don't guard the finances but I am lobbying for that as I feel it is important.

NM: is there any plans to change Moodle?

JS: quite soon. I think the programs I the faculty of health and social education will be switching soon to canvas.

SS: would paramedics be a part of all of this?

JS: yes, I act for all students at St George's

BO: you mentioned you'd like to make lots of these big decisions with the student voice behind you, what sort of ways is the university planning to connect with students to ensure this?

JS: that's under discussion and would very much like feedback from you. I am proposing that at program level there is a student staff liaison committee which is chaired by a student, which is important in terms of power balance to run these events and to hold people to account. We have come up wit twice a year student senate and university senate will have a joint meeting in which we can air big things and make important decisions. Some of the decision making to to do with joining it up, so that any big decisions within the university are made in a joined up way. There has also been talk of having a feedback talk in which issues can be raised and dealt with – “report it, sort it”. We think there has bene a breakthrough now on having this which will be a chance for anyone to send in their issues which then gets

	<p>sent to the people who can deal with it and something goes up on the site to tell you what's been done.</p> <p>IB: as a point of information, currently the final year students of the university are covered under university insurance on elective but they don't know who to contact so have to provide their own. Do you know who can be talked to</p> <p>JS: now have a list of the people who need to meet to make a decision on this so I will be meeting with you to work on this and find the way forward. I will be emailing you about this.</p>	
<p>Report of the Trustees on the Union's activities – PAPER D (CBr)</p>	<p>CBr summarized the role of the board o trustees and the report from the board of trustees.</p> <p>IB: I took to council ages ago that sabbatical officers shouldn't get an award whilst in post, and at the council meting I was promised that it wouldn't be an issue at the student trustees would ensure this wouldn't happen but none were present at this years meeting so I was wondering why?</p> <p>SA: due to placement and other commitments I wasn't able to attend. Its unfortunate that that has happened, but although student trustees cant always be present from professional level officers are expected to maintain this also.</p>	<p>PASSED</p>
<p>Amendments to the Constitution of SGSU – document with highlighted changes can be found online (PAPER E)</p>	<p>CP changes all passed Proposed by MD Seconded by TA PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p> <p>Tech changes: changes are that I've made it so that bigger events need more notice to the tech officers. Have added details about hiring contracts. Proposed by EO Seconded by FH PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p> <p>Communications officers – as media and webmaster was combined we have streamlined the role Proposed by RV Seconded by FH PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p> <p>Campaigns: made up to 4 rather than 2 in the team Proposed by TA Seconded by LuC PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p> <p>Democracy officers: the most important part of the SU is representing students and the democracy officer ole will be taking over the work from the president of running the elections process and making sure that the process is fair and that we are engaging all students. Essentially they can do what we currently can't which is monitor who is engaging in elections and getting others to run in elections. We have had people complaining that they don't understand the elections and having 2 people who can answer these queries is crucial. Something we don't do at George's is engage with motions and referenda as an SU as we aren't actively asking our students to submit motions and opinions as there are no deadlines or a support network for having these in place but having these officers will enable this and enable us to take further stances on things. Its really crucial we have these officers who can dedicate the time to these issues, which currently people are too busy to do.</p>	

RV: as we just increase campaigns officers is it necessary to increase what is essentially a sub-campaign of elections?

CBr: nowhere in the campaigns role does it talk about elections and the process and analyzing the information that we get its something we could include but I think having a self-titled democracy officer gives them more ability to run this and fulfil the role properly. We get so many complaints about unjust society elections and that ins itself fills the need for this role.

RV: surely this can be redistributed by exec

CBr: its hard to get people to fulfill their roles as it is when they're volunteers without adding extra work to the roles

EM: what would they do outside the roles

CBr: elections is the most fundamental part of the SU and is much more of a big role that we think. There are man things they can do such as helping societies with their elections and ensuring that se follow democratic processes within meetings etc. they can be people that if you felt you sat I this AGM and things hadn't been done democratically and you could go to them and challenge these issues. It's about making it as easy for our students as possible

HJ: is that not the role of chair?

CBr: no because they do what they feel is democratic and then going to the chair to challenge this can be difficult can cause tension as they have done what they feel is right. Democracy is the fundamentals of a students union and being able to challenge this is hugely important.

AS: there are only 2 major elections in the year, AGM's are run within societies all year at various times, how can you expect 2 officers to be able to potentially have input on all of these societies AGM's aside for the 2 major elections.

CBr: the role isn't perfect necessarily but it is there to put key systems in place to make sure the process is made easier year on year. The first year it may not make every single thing democratic and fair but sits about having that starting place

AS: even if the societies then decide that something isn't democratic, are they not then just gong to go back to you anyway so you're just adding a middleman?

CBr: it's a good point but we will make sure that there are things in place and it is about working out the procedures that we follow to make sure they van deal with these issues and it doesn't have to keep going back to the sabbatical officers.

PL: is there a clause in place that if it doesn't get anyone elected it goes back to the president?

CBr: it would get reopened to elections and then if not filled it is naturally distributed among top 4

PL: do you feel that as campaigns officers you could do this job?

LuC: this is something we vaguely brought up in exec and I think would have to be more defined

BA: also depends on what you want the campaigns officers to be we had difficulty finding our place in our role. I worry that the role with this would be too big. ultimately think its something that would have to be tried and see what you want.

SA: good idea. We have one of the smallest SU's and largest execs in the SU and not sure if its necessary on this. Feel that if joined with campaigns they can do this. I appreciate that its overwhelming but campaigns going from 2 to 4 should be quite helpful. 23.6 also hasn't been very much outlined on how they would do this

CBR: the reason for this is that there is no time to dedicate to elections so none and write these processes and this is the point I'm trying to make. The reason our exec is so large is because they're all doing voluntary roles which should be done by day to day staff not by volunteers but if we had enough time we would do it but we don't have the time so the only way to secure that democratic process is by bringing in a role like this and getting the role done here. For this reason I don't feel saying we have a large exec is an argument for this.

SA: don't you think then it may be better to go to the university and say that we need more sabs or more paid staff for example than adding more and more volunteers.

IB: this isn't something that Corey and I completely agree on but I feel I can answer your question and we could ask for more money which we have done but we don't want it gong to another sab officer it should be going to things like stage or sports fields or mirrors or something that serves a lot more people than one new sabbatical officer.

TA: to echo Corey, the size of our exec is so large because its so operational and we shouldn't be thinking about it in terms of it getting bigger in one place so smaller in another, it is a completely different role to campaigns – campaigns is about people who are passionate about getting involved in causes and isn't necessarily something that they would want to do in elections. The winter elections is so important and is a hard time as we are so busy getting into things and it would be such a help to senate to get these candidates straight away which we don't currently have the time to do

CBR: in this meeting a number of people have said some courses don't feel represented. Most people here are BMS and MBBS and making a decision on a role that I think is fundamental. It important that we have a way to know who isn't involved and then they can talk to campaigns officers to work out how to engage these students.

CR: I like the idea just wonder if the role seems to be very much about advocacy but do they have any power to call AGM members to account if they feel it ah been undemocratic.

CBR: yes, I see that the role needs to be improved on and this has bene abed on other unions roles and does need work but at least its in there and then once its there we can decide whether these students should have more power and we can hold the SU to account and democracy and make sure its fair. We just don't want to give too much to them I their first year but then the people in the role can decide how to mold it.

SA: I propose that we accept the democracy officer role on the caveat that you expand 236 and outline the processes when it comes to disputes as often people don't know who to go to and make it more clear.

Seconded by IB

PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY

<p>Rob Lowe plans (CBr)</p>	<p>This year I inherited an issues around RLSC and the university wanted to give up the gym and a portion of the RLSC as we can all appreciate the gym is underfunded, understaffed and is a health and safety risk. When I came in there wasn't much action happening around the RLSC and I sent out a survey and got a lot of responses and this presentation goes through why we have decided to make the hard decision we have made. 235 responses. We asked what people would prefer to sue and the majority said another local facility which already rings alarm bells that people don't want to use the RLSC which helped our decision. We then had people specify what they wanted from a facility. As you can see many people wanted cheap, clean facilities and a lot of people asked for swimming. Proximity and affordability were also important to people. We also asked respondents what they'd be willing to pay for their facility and the majority said up to £20 per month. We kept in the proposal we are about to make under the £20 mark so satisfied that criteria. Essentially we have negotiated a £19.99 a month deal with tooting leisure Centre and this includes a number of upgrades – modern gym facility, swimming pool access, free off peak booking of the sports hall and function rooms, entrance to fitness classes, sauna entrance and discounts on sports massages and various other things. We are trying to show how much of an improvement in facilities this will bring compared to the rob lowe. We then asked about staffing, with 50% saying that regular staffing was important to them. Proximity was also considered. Rob lowe is a 5-minute walk form the university, whilst TLC is a 7-minute walk. There is not a dramatic change to the opening times, but 65% said they were happy or very happy with the current opening times. The rob lowe will not change much but from June no students will be able to buy new memberships to RLSC but will be able to get TLC memberships but full transition will be wit the new intake of students. The quash courts will remain and stay bookable by the SU. Until the gym is occupied the empty space will be used for storage until an alternative use if found. Our recommendation is that we approve this move to TLC as we believe it is a vast improvement on current facilities and there is better resourcing at the new gym and there will be additional resources and it is within budget etc and the university will put an additional discount on the stated price and tis also accessible to everyone within walking distance</p> <p>MB: will sports clubs be able to block book the hall for their members as a club? CBr: all will need memberships to get in but can book on off peak times which is something we have really tried to clarify but the access is still at rob lowe so shouldn't be missing anything. IB: there is no actual difference to sport clubs as the hall and squash courts are still there. Its if you are using the gym then you are getting a better deal</p> <p>TS: how tied into the membership are you? CBr: all of that needs to be finalized when we get the terms but will probably be a 12-month contract but this could be different as they are aware you are students so we will be trying to negotiate this.</p> <p>AD: I disagree that it would be an improved student experience and that having a gym for our own students is so important that taking this away is doing an injustice and I think that it's on the students' union to supply a facility that's our own with better facilities CBr: to upgrade the RLSC to a health and safety standard is nearly £2M. we don't have this money. The key is that we offer students the best experience that is affordable and that is how we've achieved this and I wish we had the money to do the gym which is what we have fought for but we don't have it. This is just an interim plan or 3-6 years after which the long term plan</p>	
-----------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

is to bring back a gym facility to the university but at the minute this is important to be done.

AD: RLSC does need work but it is cheap and is accessible which is important

CrBr: currently paying just under £5.50 is not the same as paying more for other gyms and the people who want the extra facilities are already using those gyms

CBr: ultimately its just unsustainable to continue to make a loss on that space and for the university too. You say you want a nicer gym and we can fight for it but that's unrealistic.

OR: what's happening with current equipment in the gym as I don't know where it stands with RLSC but if we got rid of it then a lot of fixtures aren't going ahead?

CBr: the only things going will be machines like treadmills etc but any equipment like ergs and nets will be staying that is all ours. In next years' capital budget there will be some money out aside to revamp the sports hall and squash courts but that hasn't been included as it isn't confirmed yet

MH: I'm really angry that this is the first I've heard about RLSC being scrapped when I work there and it pays my rent, why haven't I been told about this before?

CBr: we have to bring things to student's in one place and we weren't able to say anything before things were firmed and we couldn't say this until now. If the employers having made this clear to you then its an issue with them not us.

IB: we don't want to panic anyone hence not telling you until we had all the details. We never wanted to give just half the information.

CBr: the survey went out right at the beginning of the year which was suggesting there would be changes with the rob lowe.

JK: what will happen to the erg room?

CBr: the room will remain but when the room is needed they will be stored and brought out as and when into a booked space

BO: what will happen to the weights?

CBr: the majority of the equipment is leased but some we do own such as loose weights so these will be retained and will be able tot be taken out when needed

CR: will use of squash courts etc be free for societies?

CBr: yes

CR: the erg room is mirrored could this be used for dance etc?

CBr: there is a deal going on with the university at the moment on a sports cardiology unit which would also be bringing in research opportunity for the students. However, until something moves in there, which is likely to be a while we can use the space however we like so yes

EM: would there we a targeted email to students who already sue the LRSC when the switch happens?

CBr: this will be for TLC to manage but we will make sure those people don't fall through the gaps and there will be advertising all around so it shouldn't be missed

	<p>CBI: I think it is a really good idea and will be great for the students. Are we voting or is this going to happen anyway?</p> <p>CBr: it will happen this isn't to vote; we can vote that we were strongly against this if we want to which can be fed back but the trustee board felt that this needed to be passed as its so important for students</p> <p>IB: initially this wasn't a deal that any of us could stop as it was a consultation from the university so they were just being kind in asking us</p> <p>PL: is this £19.99 deal guaranteed for the future or will it go up?</p> <p>CBr: the deal is guaranteed for 3 years and then this will be before the university to renegotiate but they are already willing to subsidize the cost to be lower. If it was to go up in the future we know that this is our price point and we cant go above this.</p> <p>MB: how will the current sports hall be maintained as it can at times be dangerous even with cleaning?</p> <p>CBr: store room being a tip is down to the students who leave it a mess despite our best efforts. Maintaining it we have spoken to the managed of rob lowe and the director of estate and we have said that some serious investment is needed which will be considered in next years budget with around 50-70 k next year and have shown where it needs to be invested, including the flooring in the sports hall and squash courts and the plastering and the changing rooms. There are a few things that have already been identified as needing doing.</p> <p>AD: if the squash courts and sports hall can still be used will staff be kept on for running of these facilities?</p> <p>CBr: that is a question for Matt Bull and his team as we don't run that. Unfortunately, with that gym moving there will be some loss to staff but that's down to matt bull to manage this but feel free to come and talk to me and we can discuss moving forward and supporting you with matt bull etc</p> <p>SS: written exams in the sports halls would any works interfere with this?</p> <p>IB: student education is a priority so never worry about that</p> <p>OR: at the beginning of the year currently the sports officers organize booking how is this going to be done?</p> <p>CBr: the SU will have full control over booking but we can also book externally but priority will always be our societies and if we can get extra slots for them then that would be a priority.</p> <p>CBa: was TLC the only place contacted?</p> <p>CBr: no we went to three providers, one provider didn't reply, we went to the gym group also who gave a £20 deal for only a gym but they are also already oversubscribed. This was no where near as lucrative as the TLC deal.</p>	
<p>SU Bar refurbishment reveal.</p>	<p>CBr: this was taken to EGM and a survey has also gone out about the redevelopment of the second floor. The plans are huge and will be phased. Phase one is what I will show you today which is the redevelopment of the bar. We have been working with the interior designers who are experienced in doing this kind of thing. We want to first make sure the SU remains a viable space for shows and drinking events in particular circles but also to make sure it's a space people can use for alternative uses when the bar isn't open. The designers have commented that it is the most versatile project they have ever done.</p> <p>CBr summarized the plans document from the interior designed and the different areas of the bar.</p>	

RV: is everything wipe down?
CBr: yes – everything is industrial standard. We've thought about this as we will never get this amount of money again so we are making sure it lasts.

AB: the Hyde Park Corner area during discos will that be open and does it have partitions?

CBr: it will be open and it will have half height partitions so it can still be seen in

JK: fashion show we had the tech at the back where would it go now?

CBr: the partitions can be moved easily so its workable

MB: can it then be sued more for example at the weekends etc?

CBr: yes, that is the plan

BA: what happens to the chairs during discos?

CBr: in other plans there are some storage spaces and this is something we've planned. They can also be moved to the boardrooms during events but we feel this is worth it in order to increase the seating space

CBr proposed to reduce quorum to 45

Seconed by TA

PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY

PL: what happens with the partitions?

CBr: the two nearest the dance floor are staying, the back one in Cobbs Corner is going but can be unfixed partitioned off.

OR: our official colors are green and gold and I feel this should be taken from those.

TA: this can be taken into account but it would have been so much harder to change the branding before the bar

SS: in high capacity SU events there is a second bar what will happen with this?

CBr: there will no longer be a second bar but our bar is moving out so more people can be working behind it and there are new tills and a extra iPad to take service calls as well. Our queue time at the bar this year due to card device improvements etc had got much better than in previous years. We will still have the second bar which can be used if needed but the plan was to improve the main one first.

SS: the main dance area would that be bookable for sports teams like fencing to practice in and to promote things during freshers

CBr: yes, and as I sure you're aware the SU bar is very quiet on Tuesday nights for example so we want to make it useable for teams and societies during these quiet times

SZ: sound proofing?

CBr: unfortunately, the way the university was designed there's not much we can do and the budget would be far out of our range

EN: what are the proposed dates for the construction?

CBr: it wont be useable until after the 11th august with some wiggle room

CBa: do you think there will be issues with moving furniture for events nights?

CBr: everything is easily moveable and comes with a wheelie

	<p>MD: what's happening to the table tennis table and water fountain in the games room? CBr: the games room isn't being touched during this phase but that will be decided later.</p> <p>MD: do you have contingency plans if this runs on? CBr: we have a contingency of a week for this to run over, the majority is easy work. The moving of the bar is the hard part which has its own contingency planned in.</p> <p>AD: microwave space? CBr: not being done now as the games room is staying for the moment and plan that will go into the study room shown in the plans eventually.</p> <p>AD: is there a space for the stolen signs? CBr: they are currently in the games room and they are part of that refurbishment</p> <p>PL: it was brought in another meeting that the music rom etc would change, when is that going ahead? CBr: that is going ahead but considering exam it will be in the next phase of work which will being next year and is al subject to university budget approval.</p> <p>Panopto: what will the availability of music room and bar during July and august be for societies? CBr: no access to the bar but the music room wont be changing and will be bookable but there could be noise issues</p> <p>Panopto: stalls for freshers fair? CBr: yes will be easily doable</p>	
AOB		
<p>Concerns around Jewish students and the NUS</p>	<p>CBr the previous NUS president had some attention in the media saying that she is anti-Semitic which has been apologized for and campaigns have bene run to ensure this isn't the case. This year an entire new team was elected and looked into this issue.</p> <p>We can either take a motion to not affiliate with the nus for these reasons if we want but do not have to.</p> <p>KT opened the floor to anyone who may like to take a stance on this matter.</p> <p><i>No motions made.</i></p>	
<p>Gender neutral Toilets</p>	<p>TA: A student cam to use following Christmas having visited another union who felt very strongly that we should have gender neutral toilets. We have had great support for this and has been taken to estates and so far we have no had any opposition.</p> <p>CBr: we would be putting a stance forward that next years' sabbatical officers have to implement gender neutral toilets during their year.</p> <p>CBr: I propose that we mandate next years team to look into the implementation of gender neutral toilets to be put in place by the end of their year Seconded by CBI. PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p>	
<p>Liberation Officers</p>	<p>This has been discussed with Tanisha at the start of the year as we don't have specific liberations roles within the SU – women, BAME and LGBT</p>	

students to have their own officer to sit on exec. There are role descriptions that have been drawn up that can be read.

KT this has been taken to council and there have been pros and cons for it and this isn't a time to pass the role, this is to make it how we would like to take forward for next year.

AS: would this not fall under E_D officers?

SL: their role encompasses a number of things but to streamline them through the means to cause any academic change etc but those roles aren't mandated to be people of those groups or of that identity. I have seen that people have many issues throughout the year who don't feel they can take them to E+D officers who would understand their concerns. They then just drop the issues which happens often and is bad. These roles would work closely with the E+D officers but their primary concern would be what these students need and listening to their concerns and feeding back and leading autonomous concerns.

AS: I understand this but could there be a way to address these concerns by expanding the E+D role to cater for these people.

SL: this is a possibility but it would be a lot of work to do for one team. The E+D officers would have to have people identifying with these roles adds another middle man but if they have the same level and standing as everyone else in the room there is more weight behind their voice.

EO: as one of these protected groups I don't feel the need to be represented in this way and this is personal opinion but I don't feel the needs for someone who is LGBT+ to represent me. I think there is danger of outing these roles on as they would be there fore people who identify as these characteristics which aren't always visible characteristics. To be known for your sexuality isn't a good thing in my opinion, I believe all of these things exist within the university already and I don't like that every year we make massive changes to the executive and there should be one year where we don't change absolutely everything. I personally don't see the need for this and as we've discussed we have tried to bring this up in the past and the way its been written hasn't been agreed with by the majority.

RB: not only would someone have to be LGBT+ in that role but then can only people who identify as that group vote for the role also. As a member of pride and their committee, pride itself changed last year to a welfare support group and we are very careful of who we make a list of, for example we don't charge subs so that we don't have any problems with data protection. If only people within that characteristic can vote, what is the data protection around who can see that list and is there anyway that could be seen by the wrong person

CBr: the elections process is completely anonymized, the only person who can see the data is the president but you can't see names or what they have self identified as. It is a completely blind election. There is no way of tracking it at all I can assure you.

MB: the role is to represent those who are underrepresented. The women's one we said that 70% of George's students are female and if they feel disadvantaged I feel it is beyond the scope of an officer role to deal with as it seems to be so engrained into the institution to fix that issue

SL: George's does have a large female cohort but that doesn't change the issues faced by these individuals. There are also of course valid issues faced by men too but the idea that that oppression is because of their gender doesn't hold true in wider society and in this space. In other institutions these roles operate by an autonomous campaign with people who are trained in

different issues. These officers would be an advocate but not someone able to give qualified help and advice. They would be there to raise awareness and representation of these issues and groups. We need to acknowledge that these issues need to be addressed.

CR: couldn't this be a role that LGBT+ society take on for example?

EO: the way the proposals are currently set out would actually be removing a huge amount of what pride society does within the university and would take away a huge amount of our power

RB: there is a huge amount of crossover of what we currently do.

TS: I understand the point to be that there is a sense of equality, but by giving these a particular minority groups representation but not all groups then I worry we are entering a ethical grey area where some are more represented than others and that could be something to think about

SL: I also initially proposed disability officer but that has been put on hold and we don't have many students needing this. we have been seen that through national campaigns these are the groups that need the representation which is why we chose them.

AB: when this came to exec I raised this point but I feel that that what this brings is that there's a current issue with the SU and how the SU feels represented but I don't feel that adding one individual to look after all the women for example that it solves the problem. I think initially we need to solve what we currently have in place before we add more roles. For example, E+D can grow and what I raised at exec was maybe having a committee member within these society groups to run their campaigns and work with campaigns and e+d etc to do these things

SL: I don't feel we can make these decisions of whether they're needed or effective as we haven't given the students the opportunity yet I think once we've had an election and see how successful the roles are then we can decide but I don't think saying it's not worth trying is fair or valid. It is specific needs that a sports team might need for example so could be hard to do it in the society way.

AB: I never said the current platform is right but I think we need to develop and find the flaws first before we pile other things on top and have these society committee members who can work closely to help us do that first. I think it's a good thing to have a stepping stone first.

SZ: what's the current E+D officer opinion on this?

AP: its something we have been working with Sarah on and looking into and we've had this conversation in the most recent steering group but its hard to have a strong opinion on as I understand the points that have been made and to an extent I agree with Anya that we need to be able to communicate with these groups and take it to exec and work from there would be much better than introducing various new roles into exec.

TA: since we aren't able to create these roles for next year, I think one of the main issues is that the wider student body isn't privy to the issues being faced. There is the option to mandate next year to have a campaign for these groups and we need to recognize the problem in order to get everyone to understand how best to solve it.

MD: I like the idea but I have an issue with its implementation in that what you want from this role is someone to advocate and represent these issues but you want them to become a full member of the executive and in my opinion one member wont have enough work to do during the year because if they are running only limited campaigns throughout the year

then they will struggle and be ineffective as we know that we have problems with engaging the student body. Either we should involve a larger group of people to represent these bodies or tie them in as a sort of sub committee of the E+D role to bring these issues and get these groups heard. SL: I think they would have a huge amount of work to do and there are so many groups going on which I have been instigating also. With the committee idea this wouldn't be one person who would just show up to exec they must be meeting with their group regularly having open meetings listening to concerns and bringing them back.

MD: seeing members of the exec work in teams, having that much responsibility on one single person is a lot of responsibility to take on alone is very commendable but to require them to be of that group and have that activity and responsibility then its very difficult to ask of someone

SL: the level of continuity they will have will be up to the discretion of the liberation group but it is down to how much is being asked of them but I don't think that devalues the position in any sense. Corey mentioned earlier relying on other people to do it for you is often not the best way. I have spoken to as many other unions officers as I can and they do such incredible work which I want to see done here.

JK: you've talked about the idea of people being able to come and talk to someone who looks like them or is part of their group and even if you had 4 people on exec to represent women etc you can't have someone who is all of these things but you are limiting so massively the people who could run for these roles and the thing of who is allowed to vote we are limiting things so greatly. I don't think it holds water as a system to run forward with. These mechanisms already sort of exist so I think the stepping stone suggested is the best thing to do as I don't think its practical to do that from a voting perspective.

EO: I think not being able to take issues of someone who is the same as you is already slightly prejudice to think they cant act against the discrimination. Regarding representation our exec is almost exactly 50:50 male and female with a even split of ethnicities. We already have campaigns run by groups and it is an injustice to say these aren't good enough when they are some of the most successful. Finally, you said that previously and today you don't believe there is a need for these officers to have training to deal with their roles and they are just a representation, I think that with so many people being represented with so many personal issues it is a dangerous thing to have someone on exec who is the single point of call for these individuals both for the officer and the students they are supporting?

SL: some people do not want someone the same representing them but there are also some people who do ask for that and those are the people who are being left out. Exec is diverse yes but no one is mandated to run campaigns on these issues. Campaigns being run throughout the year are amazing but there is a level of consistency that these roles would bring, and would also bring support. They would bring this level of consistency. They will be trained to fulfill their role but are not mandated to be anyone's therapist or counsellor but they can be directed to counsellors or dignity advisors for example.

IB: the constitution says the union will seek at all times to make sure equal access is available to all member regardless of ethnicity, religion, gender, origin, orientation or socio-economic class and if we put in liberation officers for one group we have to do it for all others according to the constitution under my interpretation.

SL: the women officer role in the constitution identifies as individuals who experience oppression on the basis of being a woman. I agree that the

constitution mandates these values to be upheld but when you see a gaping hole in one of them then it needs to be addressed and if addressed non-specifically it does a disservice to that group.

EM: I completely agree with the entire idea especially as we have been focusing too heavily on campaigns. Instead of me telling my friends about the issues that I experience then I can go to that officer. I think that these groups of members should be collected and asked as this group isn't representative. I think it should be mandated to be looked at in the next year.

RV: we said that we don't need a disability officer because no one asked for it. Surely that's the opposite of what you're saying.

SL: I took it to Tanisha and the idea of it would come up against a lot of pushback from the SU and so we decided to introduce these three first to ensure they are mandated and then do a survey to see if those students wanted to representation too. I want the disabled officer too but wanted to make sure the one is thought would be listened to within exec would get pushed through then yeah id like to do disabled too but for now the conversations is around the liberations officer roles and then the mandate to make it looked into next year

KS: as an E+D officer part of it is to liaise with student parents association and space and to ask them what they want fro the SU and if they want the liberation officers and if they don't then that ok that their prerogative and same with SPACE we have touched base with them and they have their own preference of how they seek support and they feel comfortable with different types of people and talking to a liberations officer may not be what they wanted and looking at the two of us we represent a large range of people and if we start categorizing things then we fall down a difficult road of categorizing everything so should ask these groups in particular

SL: if we mandate it for this year then it an be looked into next year as I agree with you

HJ: I strongly oppose these liberations officers on the simple premise that it is tokenism in the exec and is completely unnecessary that having the token woman of gay or non-white undermines all the other work people are doing

SL: I hate tokenism but its not about the officer its bout the liberation group who will be holding the exec to account and tis about making their voice stronger. For me personally and people I've spoken to they don't feel their voice is amplified enough. To say this person will be there to amplify your voice within the SU space has so much merit they will be there to hold the SU to account and represent the issues faced by the group, and to find out what we are going to do about it and find practical reforms that will help people in an institutional level.

SL I propose that we mandate next years' exec committee to work towards implementing women's officer, BAME officer and an LGBTQ+ office and in this process reach out to these groups and find out if they want one in the first place.

Seconded by FH.

BO gave a one-minute speech for this motion.

EO gave a one-minute speech against the motion.

Accept: 13

Reject: 28

NOT PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY

Ratification and closure	<p>GenSec (FH): I PROPOSE THAT THIS AGM RATIFIES ALL THE AGREED AMENDMENTS AND ADDITIONS MADE TO THE CONSTITUTION AND REGULATIONS, AND RATIFIES ALL DECISIONS AND PROPOSALS PASSED AT THIS MEETING, SUBJECT TO APPROVAL BY THE TRUSTEE BOARD AND, IN THE CASE OF CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS, BY SGUL COUNCIL. I PROPOSE THAT THE MEETING BE ADJOURNED.</p> <p>Seconded by CBr. PASSED by SIMPLE MAJORITY</p>	
--------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

DRAFT